(1)

(B)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

REVIEW PETITION No. 07 /2006 **Single Bench**

(Arising out of order dated 27/7/2006 passed by the Hon'ble Justice Shri S.K. Agnihotri in W.P. No. 1140/2006)

PETITIONER

Surendra Kumari Sehgal W/o Sat Pal Sehgal, D/o Hardev Marwah aged about – 62 years, R/o Panjabi Colony, Katora Talab, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Raipur Development Authority Through – Its Chairman, Near Shastri Chowk, Bajrang Mandir, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2. Rahul Chhabra S/o Shri Jugendra Chhabra, R/o Subhash Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Harpreet Singh S/o Ghora Singh, R/o Telibandha, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

4. Smt. Sheela Rastogi W/o – Prabhodh Kumar, C/o Sh. B.L. Bani, R/o E-21 Shailendra Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2479/06
Presented by Shri Vinod Dethwani
dated 29/8/06

S.D. CHAWLA NOTARY (ADVOCATE) RAIPUR (C.G.) INDIA REGD. NO. 6123/30 10 06 GOVT. OF CHHATTISGARH

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF THE ORDER DATED 27.07.2006 PASSED IN W.P. NO. 1140/2006

(14)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Review Petition No. 7 of 2006

Petitioner : Surendra Kumari Sehgal

Versus

Respondents : Raipur Development Authority & others

By circulation in Chamber.

ORDER

(31st August, 2006)

1. The present application seeks a review of the order dated 27th July, 2006 passed by this Court in W.P.No. 1140 of 2006.
2. The present application, is seeking fresh hearing/rehearing of the main petition. On perusal of the papers I do not find any apparent error on the face of record, either on facts or on law. There is also no other sufficient reason as specified in Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Thus, the grounds raised in the review application are not in accordance with the provisions of the Order 47 Rule 1 of the C.P.C.
3. For the reasons stated above, this review application is dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur